

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/995,665 | 11/29/2001 | Su-Woong Lee | 8733.538.00 | 2645 |
| 30827 7590 10/24/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGUYEN, JOSEPH H | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2815 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/24/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 09/995,665 | LEE, SU-WOONG | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joseph Nguyen | 2815 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju | ıly 2007. | | | | | |
| · _ · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | т г | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figures 1-2 of acknowledged prior art (APA) in view of Ando (JP-09-138417) and further in view of Watanabe et al. (JP 61-45225).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, 8, applicant discloses in figures 1-3 of (APA) a seal pattern of a liquid crystal display device (a method of forming a seal pattern of a liquid crystal display) comprising a substrate 10 having a plurality of unit cell regions A, B, C, D, E, F; a plurality of main seal patterns 21 on the substrate, each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region; and a first sub-seal pattern 31 surrounding all of the main seal patterns (See pages 6-7 of the instant application). Figures 1-2 of (APA) do not show each main seal pattern is not formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region for one unit cell region and a first sub-seal pattern having a plurality of open portions. However, Ando discloses in figure 1 each main seal pattern 33 is not formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region for one unit cell region and a first sub-seal pattern 31 having a plurality of open portions such that the infiltration of moisture and foreign matter into the cells at the time of

cutting substrates is prevented (See English Abstract). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify figures 1-3 of (APA) by including each main seal pattern not being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region for one unit cell region and a first sub-seal pattern having a plurality of open portions such that the infiltration of moisture and foreign matter into the cells at the time of cutting substrates is prevented. Further, APA and Ando do not show a plurality of additional seal patterns at the open portions. However, Watanabe et al. shows in figure 2 a plurality of additional seal patterns 6 at the open portions 4 to improve the reliability of liquid crystal element (See CONSTITUTION). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify (APA) and Ando by including a plurality of additional seal patterns 6 at the open portions 4 to improve the reliability of liquid crystal element.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, figure 3 of (APA) shows the unit cell regions A, B, C, D, E, F are arranged in one of a plurality of columns and a plurality of rows.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, figure 3 of (APA) shows the main seal pattern 21 is formed at a boundary of the one of a plurality of columns and a plurality of rows.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, figure 3 of (APA) shows a second sub-seal pattern 32 between the unit cell regions.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Ando shows in figure 1 the open portions in the unit cell region having no main seal pattern.

Regarding claims 15-16, applicant discloses in paragraph [0016] of the instant application etching the substrate using an etchant, which is hydrofluoric acid.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figures 1-2 of acknowledged prior art (APA) in view of JP 5-249422 and further in view of Watanabe et al. (JP 61-45225).

Regarding claim 17, (APA) discloses in figure 3 a seal pattern of a liquid crystal display device comprising a glass substrate 10 having a plurality of unit cell regions; a plurality of main seal patterns 21 on the substrate, each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding one of the unit cell regions; plurality of injection holes 22 each formed at a lower center portion of a corresponding main seal pattern; a first subs seal pattern 31 surrounding all of the main seal patterns and having a plurality of air vent portions; a plurality of additional seal patterns 33 for air ventilation each formed at a corresponding air vent portion; and a second sub seal pattern 33 having a plurality of open portions. (APA) does not disclose each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for at least one middle unit cell region. However, JP5-249422 discloses in figure 2 each main seal pattern 13 being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region except for at least one middle unit cell region 13a. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) by having each main seal pattern being formed at a boundary of a corresponding unit cell region

Art Unit: 2815

except for at least one middle unit cell region for the purpose of reducing the thickness of the substrate at a good yield a taught by JP5-249422.

Note that applicant admitted on page 6 of the instant application that the sub-seal patterns 31, 32,33 also function as air vent. Therefore, these patterns are also considered air vents herein.

Regarding claims 18, 21-22, (APA) and JP5-249422 and Watanabe et al. together disclose all the structures and steps of the method set forth in the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 19, (APA) and JP5-249422 and Watanabe et al. together disclose substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except the width of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 1.5 to 2 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) and JP5-249422 and Watanabe et al. by having the width of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 1.5 to 2 mm for the purpose of improving the performance of a liquid crystal display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 20, (APA) and JP5-24942 and Watanabe et al. together disclose substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except the length of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 70 to 100 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2815

invention was made to modify (APA) and JP5-249422 and Watanabe et al. by having the length of the plurality of seal patterns for air ventilation being about 70 to 100 mm for the purpose of improving the performance of a liquid crystal display device, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am- 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETH PARKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Joseph Nguyen

Patent Examiner

October 15, 2007.